

1 MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)
2 United States Attorney

3 J. DOUGLAS WILSON (DCBN 421811)
4 Chief, Criminal Division

5 SUSAN KNIGHT (CSBN 209013)
6 Assistant United States Attorney

7 150 Almaden Blvd., Suite 900
8 San Jose, California 95113
9 Telephone: (408) 535-5061
10 FAX: (408) 535-5066
11 Susan.Knight@usdoj.gov

12 Attorneys for Plaintiff

13
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16 SAN JOSE DIVISION

17 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 11-00456 EJD
18 Plaintiff,)
19 v.) STIPULATION AND [REPROPOSED]
20 SANFORD WALLACE,) ORDER CONTINUING STATUS
21 Defendant.) HEARING AND EXCLUDING TIME
22) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
23)
24) SAN JOSE VENUE
25)
26)
27)

28 The undersigned parties respectfully request that the status hearing currently scheduled
1 for August 12, 2013 be continued to September 23, 2013. The reason for the continuance is that
2 the government needs additional time to prepare a plea agreement, and afford defense counsel an
3 opportunity to review the agreement and conduct any further investigation. In addition, the
4 parties request an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act from August 12, 2013 through
5 September 23, 2013. The parties agree and stipulate that an exclusion of time is appropriate
6 based on the defendant's need for effective preparation of counsel. 18 U.S.C. §
7 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

28 //

29
30 STIPULATION AND [REPROPOSED] ORDER
31 NO. CR 11-00456 EJD

1 SO STIPULATED:

MELINDA HAAG
United States Attorney

2 DATED: 8/8/13

3 /s/
4 SUSAN KNIGHT
Assistant United States Attorney

5 DATED: 8/8/13

6 /s/
7 K.C. MAXWELL
Counsel for Mr. Wallace

8 **ORDER**

9 Accordingly, for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the status hearing
10 scheduled for August 12, 2013 is continued to September 23, 2013

11 The Court FURTHER ORDERS that time be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act from
12 August 12, 2013 through September 23, 2013. The Court finds, based on the aforementioned
13 reasons, that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best
14 interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The failure to grant the requested
15 continuance would deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation,
16 taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice.
17 The Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. §§
18 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).

19 SO ORDERED.

20
21 DATED: 8/8/2013


22 EDWARD J. DAVILA
23 United States District Judge